

Humorous Department

He Desired It.—A boy walked into the office of the telegraph company at Chicago, and asked for a job, relating the Kansas City Star. He said his name was "Missouri."

The manager happened to want a messenger boy just at that moment and gave him a message that had to be delivered in a hurry.

"Here's your chance, my boy," said the manager, "these people have been kicking about undelivered messages. Now, don't come back until you have delivered it."

A little while afterward the telephone rang. On the other end of the wire there appeared to be a building watchman, somewhat terrified.

"Have you got a boy they call 'Missouri'?" inquired the watchman.

"We did have ten minutes ago," replied the manager.

The watchman continued: "That 'Missouri' fellow came over here and said he had to go to one of the offices. We don't allow no one up at that office at this hour and I told him he couldn't go."

"Yes, yes," said the manager.

"Well," said the watchman, "he said he would go, and I had to pull my gun on him."

"But you didn't shoot him?" exclaimed the manager.

"No," meekly came back the response over the wire, "but I want my gun back."

Why He Waited.—Speechless with wrath, a little man was ushered into the police court the other day. An ornament of the police force had found him loitering about and had arrested him as a suspicious character.

"What were you doing at the time of your arrest?" asked the weary magistrate.

"Simply waiting!" spluttered the prisoner.

"What were you waiting for?"

"My money."

"Who owed you the money?"

"The man I had been waiting for."

"What did he owe it to you for?"

"For waiting."

The magistrate took his glasses off and glared at the prisoner.

"Do not jest with me," he said. "Now tell me, have you a trade?"

"Of course I have."

"Then what is it?"

"I'm a waiter."

Not Guilty.—There had been a railway collision near a country town in Virginia, and a shrewd lawyer had hurried from Richmond to the scene of the disaster, says the New York Evening Post. He noticed an old colored man with a badly injured head, and hurried up to him where he lay moaning on the ground.

"How about damages?" began the lawyer.

"G'way boss, g'way," he said. "I never done sich a thing in all mah life, so help me Gawd!"

"You can't git no damages outen me."

Out of Place.—An Irishman was on trial, charged with assaulting a neighbor and fracturing his skull. During the trial several physicians testified that the man's skull was very thin—in medical terms, "a paper skull."

"Have you anything to say why sentence should not be pronounced?" asked the judge.

"No, your lordship, but I would like to ask just one question."

"What is it?"

"What was a man with a skull like that doing at a Tipperary fair?"

No One to Nurse Him.—At the close of his talk before a Sunday school the bishop invited questions. A tiny boy with a white, eager face, at once held up his hand.

"Please, sir," said he, "why was Adam never a baby?"

The bishop coughed in doubt as to what answer to give, but a little girl, the eldest of several brothers and sisters, came promptly to his aid:

"Please, sir," she said smartly, "there was nobody to nurse him."—London Tit-Bits.

He Wasn't Hissing the Show.—One of the ushers approached a man who appeared to be annoying those about him.

"Don't you like the show?"

"Yes, indeed."

"Then why do you persist in hissing the performance?"

"Why, m-man allie, I w-wasn't hissing. I w-was simply s-s-saying to S-s-samy that the s-s-singing is s-s-superb."—Milwaukee Journal.

Wanted Cleopatra.—"Give me a copy of 'Anthony and Cleopatra,' please," said the bright young man entering the book store.

"Yes, sir," said the clerk. "Here you are. One dollar and fifty cents."

"I've only got seventy-five cents, so just give me 'Cleopatra.'"

The Job He Wanted.—"Want a job, eh?"

"Yes, sir; I am looking for a place where there is plenty of work."

"I am sorry, but there would not be enough work here to keep you busy an hour a day."

"That's plenty of work for me, sir."—Houston Post.

Eyes Being Opened.—Doctor—Well, Casey, are the eyes improving?

Patient—Sure they are, sir.

Doctor—Can you see better; can you see the nurse now?

Patient—Sure, I can that, sir. Faith, she gets plainer and plainer every day.

Why He Rushed.—"The woman threw herself into the river," read the teacher. "Her husband rushed to the bank. Now tell me why her husband rushed to the bank?"

To get the insurance money yelled the class.

He Needed It.—The Widow (at the seashore)—Well, why don't you kiss me?

Basinful Youth—I would, only I have some sand in my mouth.

"Swallow it, young man; you need it in your system."—Life.

What They All Say.—Employer—I hope you have something out of your salary, James?

Office Boy—Yes, sir; most all of it, sir.

Employer (eagerly)—Do you want to buy an automobile cheap?

Shouldn't Worry.—Young Wife—Oh, John, the rats have eaten all my angel cake!

Hubbard—What! All of it?

Young Wife—Every piece. I feel like crying.

Hubbard—Oh, pshaw! Don't cry over a few rats.

TOLD BY LOCAL EXCHANGES.

(Continued from Page One.)

byterian church on Tuesday, the 14th, for three days' session. Rev. Edw. S. Reeves and family arrived Tuesday by auto from Honesdale and are visiting relatives in this city.

Gastonia Gazette, Sept. 3: That Gastonians are proud of their handsome new hotel, the Armstrong, was amply proven by the throngs of people who attended the formal opening on Tuesday evening. Between the hours of 8 and 11 o'clock, there was a constant stream of people to pass the receiving line and then inspect the building from top to bottom.

Mr. C. C. Ormand of Bessemer City, was in town Wednesday on business. Mr. Ormand is a great believer in oats, corn, clover, vetch and livestock and believes that Gaston is making considerable headway toward getting away from cotton as the principal product.

He says Gaston raised more oats, wheat and corn this year than last, and that the indications are that the farmers will increase their acreage in these crops still more next year.

Gastonia's three-day booster campaign closed Wednesday night. Financially it was not a success, each of the twelve guarantors losing about \$20. However, from a standpoint of merit it was a success and it is to be regretted that more Gastonians did not avail themselves of the opportunity to hear something worth while.

Unfortunately there were other things to attract the crowds, baseball, the hotel opening, etc. Mr. Grier Hawkins' store on the Linwood college road was also broken into last night and a number of articles taken, presumably by the same burglar who robbed the safe of F. D. Barkley & Co.

Mr. H. A. Query, who has been with the Gazette during the summer, has returned to Belmont to make preparations for the opening of the Belmont High school, of which he is principal, on Monday, the 13th. The school building is being repaired and put in first-class condition in every way for the opening.

Lancaster News, Sept. 3: Mr. J. L. Caskey has been appointed magistrate for the late L. T. Hunter. Mr. Caskey is thoroughly familiar with the duties of the office, having been Mr. Hunter's predecessor.

Rev. W. W. Boyce, Miss Jessie Beckham, Mrs. M. W. Cauten and Mr. W. J. Bowers of Pleasant Hill A. R. P. church, have returned from Gastonia, where they attended the Linwood convention of the A. R. P. church, held at Linwood college.

There is growing interest in the night school proposition and Mrs. W. E. Taylor, Miss Ella Skipper, Miss Elfreida Poag and Mr. Claud N. Sapp have signified their willingness to teach in the school.

There is need of yet other volunteers, which we hope and believe will be forthcoming.

BRITISH METHODS AS TO COTTON

A Little More Light on an All-Important Subject.

If we may be permitted to discuss still a little further this question of British interference with our cotton trade—without being accused of pro-Germanism, or pro-anything else—we have some more light to throw on that all-important subject.

Perhaps we should explain at the outset, in order to relieve what follows from the suspicion of bias, that it comes from no less an authority than the New York Journal of Commerce, which is it is biased at all, is biased in favor of Great Britain and her Allies. At any rate, here it is, as taken from the news columns of that excellent commercial journal:

"While the important trade factors are feeling more optimistic about the international trade situation, from the standpoint of the fall trade of this country, there is a great deal of dissatisfaction in circles of cotton shippers whose cargoes, destined for Germany and Austria at a time when such shipments were allowed to move by the British, have been seized and practically confiscated. The charge is made that Great Britain is not treating American cotton shippers fairly in arranging for the settlement of claims for cotton which was seized on four steamers en route to Sweden and other countries contiguous to Germany."

There we have it; while the New York importers got busy and went down to Washington and raised a rumpus with the state department—

with the result that they got their Christmas toys and cutlery and gloves and notions released almost forthwith—the shippers of cotton are right there they stood and right where they have stood for months past—practically so. While some of this "detained" cotton has been settled for, the most of it has not; and here is the story about that:

"Among other things, it is asserted that the British have offered to compensate the American owners of the cotton on those four vessels on the basis of the market value of the cotton at the time it left the American port. This offer has been emphatically refused, the attorneys representing the shippers insisting on full payment for the cotton on the basis of the price ruling at the port to which it was consigned and the approximate date of its arrival at that port, had it not been seized. To date, it is learned, approximately \$900,000 has been paid by Great Britain to American shippers of these four seized cotton cargoes and further sums, aggregating \$500,000, are said to be due to the same interests."

"One of the attorneys who has been active on behalf of the shippers of the cotton cargoes seized en route to Germany and Austria said that England is not fairly abiding by the so-called cotton agreement. He asserted that while some payments have been made, many of the cargoes seized last May en route to Germany have not yet been paid for after nearly three months of continuous negotiations."

Of course, all this may be all right with those people who still insist that Great Britain should be permitted to seize our cotton as often as she pleases and pay for it, or not, whenever she gets good and ready and at her own price; but we suspect that even such "neutrals" as these might be somewhat staggered at this additional information as to Great Britain's attitude toward our cotton; her determination to seize it at her pleasure, pay for it whenever she likes and at her own price—and then, sell it herself to other countries at an advanced price. If the following be true, it is enough to set every American to thinking, and thinking hard:

"I know," says this same attorney, "that in certain instances, shippers informed the British embassy of every detail of their arrangements, cancell-

ing all contracts where cotton had been sold to Germany, and instead consigning the cotton to Scandinavian or Dutch ports, relying upon the assurances of the state department and of the British embassy that it would be permitted free passage. This cotton was then seized by England, who declined to let it go forward."

"England will pay the price prevailing in the English market, which is low as a result of large importations of cotton, and this is not acceptable to American shippers, who would not have sent forward the cargoes unless counting on the high prices at destination ports. The shippers feel, therefore, that England has repudiated her own agreement."

"Attention was called to the large British exports of cotton as indicating a willingness on the part of England to take advantage of the conditions which she refused to allow Americans to profit by. Shipments were being constantly forwarded to neutral ports, it was stated, and these were held pending a rise in the market."

The British exports of cotton to Holland and Sweden, particularly, during March, April, May and June were many times greater than during the corresponding period of 1914; the attorney continued. "England claims that her object in preventing American cargoes from reaching countries contiguous to Germany is to make the re-exportation of cotton to Germany impossible."

"Yet, at the same time, she permits her own merchants to sell cotton in these countries. They can get the prices paid at destination ports on cotton that has been stopped and bought by England at prevailing British rates, while American shippers are not allowed the benefit of this increase."—Augusta Chronicle.

RELIGION

A Simple Analysis of the Gospel of Christ.

I believe it was Bill Nye who said that he preferred a certain church to all others because it didn't interfere with either his politics or his religion.

The remark could be applied to almost any church of the present day, for it is a painful but very evident fact that few church people really know what they believe, and that, know, or seem to know, what Christianity is.

For that matter, very few people know, or seem to know, what Christianity really is.

The average church member reserves the right to interpret Christ's teachings to please himself, and the further right to disregard those teachings that interfere with his business or his passions.

Yet the religion of Christ is so simple that a child can understand it, and the basic principle so clear that a wayfarer man, though a fool, need not fail to grasp it.

For any man or woman who has received the life of service; his gospel was a gospel of love. The one thing he tried unceasingly to impress on the minds of those who would be his followers was that they must forgive and love one another. And that one requirement proves a stumbling block in the paths of most folk who would be Christians.

No man or woman who can read can doubt the truth of the statement that it is impossible for one to hate and remain a Christian. Yet nearly all folk who profess to be Christians allow themselves the privilege of "holding a grudge" against somebody.

Thus we have a race of pharisees who will get into heaven, if at all, far behind the harlots and thieves. The professing Christian who harbors ill-will must be either very stubbornly wicked or very, very ignorant.

If I have injured you, or hurt your feelings, or offended your pride, and you set about trying to get even, or so much as hold resentment against me, and yet claim to be a Christian, you are either a deliberate liar or a fool.

Each county in New England is subdivided into such townships as Jefferson proposed in Virginia—communities about five miles square so that the farthest citizen is two and a one-half miles from the center—and each township is "a small republic in itself," as he said.

Once a year all the voters of the township come together in mass-meeting to elect their officers, to vote upon all questions affecting the community's welfare, and to decide upon taxes for schools, roads and other purposes; and similar mass meetings may be called at any time (upon petition of a proper proportion of voters) to pass upon any other public questions that may come up.

Gordon, in his "History of Independence in the United States," describes a New England "township meeting" in Revolutionary times in words just as applicable today:

"Every township is an incorporated republic. The selectmen (township commissioners) upon their own authority, or upon the application of a certain number of citizens, issue a warrant for the calling of a township meeting. The warrant mentions the business to be engaged in, and no other can be legally executed. The inhabitants are warned to attend; and they that are present, though not a quarter or a tenth of the whole, have a right to proceed."

Each individual has an equal liberty of delivering his opinion and is not liable to be silenced or brow-beaten by a richer or greater citizen than himself. Every freeman of freehold gives his vote or not, and for or against, as he pleases, and each vote weighs equally whether that of the highest or lowest inhabitant."

How Each Community Rules Itself.

At these annual township meetings the people elect a board of three, five or seven selectmen or township commissioners who see that the laws are enforced and have authority to look after the welfare of the township about as our county commissioners would after the welfare of a county or a board of aldermen in a town. Other officers chosen are:

1. A township clerk.

2. Township tax assessors.

3. A tax collector.

4. A township treasurer.

5. Road supervisors.

6. Constables.

7. School committeemen.

8. Fence viewers (to settle disputes about fences).

9. Overseers of the poor (to look after paupers).

10. Field driver (to look after stray cattle, hogs, sheep).

11. A local board of health (to co-operate with county and state boards in improving health conditions).

12. Library trustees.

Most of these officers (except tax collectors, constables, etc., paid by fees) serve without pay, just as school committeemen do in the south, simply because they feel a pride in doing a citizen's duty. Thus the great author

BEST OF GOOD GOVERNMENT

New England Township System is the Thing.

Clarence Poe in Progressive Farmer.

I pointed out in last week's Progressive Farmer the three things that have made New England great—Books and Banks and Township Government, or Education, Thrift and Democracy.

How Education and Thrift have helped, I then tried to make plain. This week I wish to point out the great influence of New England's township system of government, pronounced by Thomas Jefferson "the wisest invention ever devised by the wit of man for the perfect exercise of self-government."

And if anybody has ever been an authority on self-government Thomas Jefferson was.

"The governments of the southern states before the civil war," a great southern statesman has said, "while in form a democracy, were in fact an aristocracy; and speaking broadly, it is true to this day of our states and counties that they are not as democratic as they ought to be."

It was not a democracy, but it may not be an aristocracy which rules, but in too many cases it is a political ring or clique without the virtues of an aristocracy.

We simply haven't the machinery for letting the people rule in a real and effective way; and perhaps not one person in fifty who calls himself a follower and disciple of the immortal Jefferson realizes that he declared that this township system of government—"the sub-division of the county into wards" for self-government—was the very foundation-stone of true democracy.

As soon as the Revolution was over, he said, he drew a bill for the Virginia legislature which proposed to lay every county into self-governing wards or townships five or six miles square with a public school in the center; and as long as he lived he never ceased to urge the importance of this action. As long as he had breath he declared when an old man, he was going to fight for just two things: "public education and the sub-division of the counties into wards (townships)."

I consider the continuance of the republican government as absolutely hanging on these two things. And in 1816 he wrote: "The greatest nearest my heart is the sub-division of the counties into wards (townships)."

Perhaps I ought to say here that New Englanders call a township a "town" though everybody in it may be a farmer with no sign of a village, but to avoid confusion I shall substitute the word "township" throughout this article.

The Township System Explained.

Now why was Jefferson so supremely interested in establishing the township system of government in the south? It wasn't because he simply had a theory that it was "the wisest invention ever devised for the perfect exercise of self-government," but because the experience of New England had proved it so. Jefferson saw that with the county as the smallest unit of government in the south, the people as a whole would not control. There was no provision for general mass-meetings of all the voters of the county to control their affairs, and if there had been, distances in a county were too great for all the people to come together. Consequently Jefferson realized that if the county was to be the smallest unit of government, a few aristocrats or a few bosses would control; and it is undoubtedly true that the aristocratic classes in Virginia realized the same thing and consequently prevented the establishment of the township system he advocated.

Let us see just how this township system works. I have long been interested in it, and when I found it was going up into Massachusetts recently, I determined to look into it more closely than ever before.

Each county in New England is subdivided into such townships as Jefferson proposed in Virginia—communities about five miles square so that the farthest citizen is two and a one-half miles from the center—and each township is "a small republic in itself," as he said.

Once a year all the voters of the township come together in mass-meeting to elect their officers, to vote upon all questions affecting the community's welfare, and to decide upon taxes for schools, roads and other purposes; and similar mass meetings may be called at any time (upon petition of a proper proportion of voters) to pass upon any other public questions that may come up.

Gordon, in his "History of Independence in the United States," describes a New England "township meeting" in Revolutionary times in words just as applicable today:

"Every township is an incorporated republic. The selectmen (township commissioners) upon their own authority, or upon the application of a certain number of citizens, issue a warrant for the calling of a township meeting. The warrant mentions the business to be engaged in, and no other can be legally executed. The inhabitants are warned to attend; and they that are present, though not a quarter or a tenth of the whole, have a right to proceed."

Each individual has an equal liberty of delivering his opinion and is not liable to be silenced or brow-beaten by a richer or greater citizen than himself. Every freeman of freehold gives his vote or not, and for or against, as he pleases, and each vote weighs equally whether that of the highest or lowest inhabitant."

How Each Community Rules Itself.

At these annual township meetings the people elect a board of three, five or seven selectmen or township commissioners who see that the laws are enforced and have authority to look after the welfare of the township about as our county commissioners would after the welfare of a county or a board of aldermen in a town. Other officers chosen are:

1. A township clerk.

2. Township tax assessors.

3. A tax collector.

4. A township treasurer.

5. Road supervisors.

6. Constables.

7. School committeemen.

8. Fence viewers (to settle disputes about fences).

9. Overseers of the poor (to look after paupers).

10. Field driver (to look after stray cattle, hogs, sheep).

11. A local board of health (to co-operate with county and state boards in improving health conditions).

12. Library trustees.

Most of these officers (except tax collectors, constables, etc., paid by fees) serve without pay, just as school committeemen do in the south, simply because they feel a pride in doing a citizen's duty. Thus the great author

and philosopher Emerson served a term as field driver in his township!

And everything that deserves the attention of the voters gets it at the annual meeting. "If there is any new plan or any change in old plans that we believe would help the community," as one Massachusetts man said to me, "we begin talking about it and agitating it before the annual township meeting. The voters can get any pertinent subject listed for discussion and then act on it by their fellow-citizens, and this means that everything worth while gets a hearing. Even a crank can likely get nine men to sign with him and have his idea brought forward."

Just to give an idea of how completely the people rule themselves in these New England communities or townships, I may mention a warrant I saw for the annual mass meeting of the voters of Hadley township, in Hampshire county, which provided for the following subjects to be acted upon:

1. To select a moderator.

2. To hear reports of township officers.

3. To elect township officers for the ensuing year.

4. Road and bridge improvements.

5. License or no license.

6. To confirm or reject the men proposed by the selectmen for jurors in the county court.

7. To consider appropriations for the ensuing year.

8. To consider plans for having the state highway come through Hadley township.

9. Should we close Hockanum school and transport pupils there to the central school?

10. To consider lighting the township hall (or community center) with gas.

11. To consider plans for improving the fire-fighting equipment.

12. Should the township spend \$300 to improve the road from Thomas Flaherty's to the four corners at East Hadley?

13. Better drainage of Shipman's swamp.

14. Appropriations for the high school.

15. Should the charge for renting township hall for dances and entertainments be reduced?

16. Should the township spend \$100 to harden the road from P. Ryan's to the North Amherst line?

Time for the South to Follow Jefferson.

And even this list of sixteen subjects does not exhaust the list of topics listed for action by the freemen of Hadley township. But this simple recital of some of the things discussed and settled by the people themselves once a year at least, in each New England neighborhood—this ought to make it clear how free and unhampered is the stream of progress under the township system of government, and how damned and clogged is the stream here in the south where we have nothing but the county to act for us, and the small communities have no power whatever except to elect their constables. Or rather we should say that the small rural communities, the communities of farmers, have no power whatever except this, for just as soon as a community of villagers is formed, it is incorporated and given all these powers. In other words, the townspeople everywhere have local self-government, while communities of farmers have no such power.

Consider conditions in your own county, Mr. Farmer. The people never have any stated time for getting together in mass meeting unless it is in county conventions of their respective political parties every two years, and this plan does practically nothing toward helping community progress. In the first place, distances are so great that only a small part of the voters attend. In the second place, the convention is so intent upon the distribution of offices and upon state and national politics, that county affairs of real importance get scant attention. And in the third place it's a meeting for the whole county, and the members have no time to listen to any plan for the improvement of your own particular neighborhood.

Moreover, the county commissioners or other governing body of the county are elected to look at everything from the standpoint of the county, and cannot, if they would, work out plans for local betterment as well as the people would do for themselves—to say nothing of the humiliation the freemen of any township must feel in having to entrust a lot of official bosses higher up about the management of every item of their own affairs. And yet nearly all over the south not only are people in rural communities without power to act for themselves, but in hundreds of cases they must even go up to the state capital, hat in hand, and beg a crowd of city lawyers in a state legislature for the power to regulate such purely local matters as chickens running at large or the drainage of a stagnant stream!

And yet the south calls itself free and democratic! Great heavens!

Keep the Counties Big and Give Local Communities Self-Government.

Of course our people have felt the burden of this shameful system or lack of system, and in a blundering way we have been feeling about for a remedy. But the trouble is that in trying to improve matters we have jumped from the frying pan into the fire by splitting up counties and making more counties—each new county meaning a new sheriff, a new register, a new clerk, a new treasurer, a new jail, a new poorhouse, and heaven only knows how many other things and persons, all to be supported from the people's taxes. Or frequently one section of a farming county in which a rich city is located has had itself set apart into a new county, leaving the old rural county without any revenue from the city its farmers have helped to make rich.